wo

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT** DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

| UNITED | STATES | OF | AMER | CA |
|--------|--------|----|------|----|
|        |        |    |      |    |

| UNITED STATES OF AMERICA<br>V. |  | ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL   |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Martin Esparza-Burruel         |  | Case Number: <u>11-05199M-001</u>  |  |  |  |
| resent and wa                  | with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. as represented by counsel. I conclude defendant pending trial in this case.  | § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 28, 2011. Defendant was by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the <b>FINDINGS OF FACT</b> |  |  |  |
| find by a prep                 | onderance of the evidence that:  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                    | The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.  |  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                    | The defendant, at the time of the cl   | harged offense, was in the United States illegally.  |  |  |  |
| ⊠                              | If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed. |  |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant has no resources in to assure his/her future appearance  | resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated be appearance.  |  |  |  |
| $\boxtimes$                    | The defendant has a prior criminal   | history.   |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant lives/works in Mexic   |  |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant is an amnesty app<br>substantial family ties to Mexico.  | plicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has   |  |  |  |
|                                | There is a record of prior failure to  | appear in court as ordered.  |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.  |  |  |  |  |
|                                | The defendant is facing a maximur  | n of years imprisonment.   |  |  |  |
|                                |  |  |  |  |  |
| The C<br>at the time of t      | ourt incorporates by reference the ma<br>he hearing in this matter, except as r  | aterial findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court<br>noted in the record.  CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  |  |  |  |
| 1.                             | There is a serious risk that the defe  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.                             |  | nditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.   |  |  |  |
| ۷.                             |  | TIONS REGARDING DETENTION  |  |  |  |
| The d                          | efendant is committed to the custody   | of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in ple, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending                 |  |  |  |

appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>March 28, 2011</u>

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge